

# EXHIBIT B

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

**Shari Allen O'Quinn, Bard’s Director of Regulatory Affairs (2004-2006)**

**Deposition Transcript, Oct. 9, 2013 at 55:3-55:14**

55:3 Q. How did you educate yourself on the  
55:4 IVC filters when you first joined Bard?  
55:5 A. I had worked with that branch of the FDA,  
55:6 so I was familiar with the general expectations from  
55:7 that branch for 510(k)s and PMAs, but specifically on  
55:8 the filters, I reviewed their guidance document that  
55:9 they have for IVC filters that outlines their  
55:10 expectations. I also very frequently referred to the  
55:11 SIR guidelines for the reporting standards, and those  
55:12 were the two documents that I referred to most  
55:13 frequently that were specifically related to the

**Allen O’Quinn at 60:9-61:10**

60:9 You also knew that there were a significant  
60:10 number of fractures being reported at that time --  
60:11 MR. LERNER: Objection to --  
60:12 BY MR. LOPEZ:  
60:13 Q. -- of the device. Correct?  
60:14 MR. LERNER: Objection to form.  
60:15 THE WITNESS: I would say  
60:16 significant -- we were aware of the events that  
60:17 were being reported, and monitoring them to ensure  
60:18 that they were within the range that was acceptable  
60:19 in the SIR guidelines.  
60:20 BY MR. LOPEZ:

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

60:21 Q. Acceptable to the SIR guidelines?

60:22 A. (No audible response.)

60:23 Q. Okay. How about acceptable to physicians

60:24 and patients that were getting the device, did you

60:25 ever do any surveys about that to determine whether

61:1 or not they thought that what was being reported to

61:2 you was acceptable to them to have your device

61:3 implanted in them?

61:4 MR. LERNER: Objection to form; also vague

61:5 as to time period.

61:6 THE WITNESS: We -- at many different times

61:7 while I was at Bard, we worked closely with

61:8 physicians to ask them for input on the product and

61:9 the performance, and to help us understand and

61:10 investigate these events.

**Allen O’Quinn at 99:14-100:21**

99:14 Q. Well, okay. What's the

99:15 different performance profile for the Recovery versus

99:16 a Simon Nitinol as a permanent device?

99:17 A. Is that I can't -- I don't recall the

99:18 rates of events that were reported, but we would

99:19 have to look at some of the documents --

99:20 Q. Well, what do you mean --

99:21 A. -- that show --

99:22 Q. -- by performance profile, the different --

99:23 A. Because --

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

99:24 Q. -- they had different performance profiles?

99:25 A. Well, we talk -- what I was talking about

100:1 was as -- once the devices are commercially

100:2 available, events that occur are reported to us, and

100:3 we look at those events and look at the rates of

100:4 those events, and certain products have different

100:5 rates of events than others. And even if products

100:6 are substantially equivalent, like Recovery and

100:7 Simon Nitinol, they may have -- one may have slightly

100:8 higher rates in one criteria, and others may have

100:9 slightly higher rates in other criteria.

100:10 And that's why I use the terms performance

100:11 and criteria, is that depending on the product, there

100:12 may be different rates for each event that's

100:13 reported, but we monitor, are those rates below the

100:14 ranges that's expected for those kinds of products.

100:15 And that maintains that they're still substantially

100:16 equivalent.

100:17 Q. Well, expected by whom?

100:18 A. By clinicians that have determined these

100:19 guidelines. We refer to the SIR guidelines for those

100:20 rates, and FDA also referred to that document to know

100:21 what were acceptable rates.

**Allen O’Quinn at 102:2-102:12**

102:2 Q. Now, if there's a threshold --

102:3 whatever this SIR threshold is, or acceptance is, are

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

102:4 you saying that the doctors don't care whether or not  
102:5 the device is at the high or low end of the  
102:6 threshold? They don't care about that when they're  
102:7 making a decision on whether or not to use someone's  
102:8 device?  
102:9 A. They need to know what the risks are, and  
102:10 they need to know that -- and as a company, we're  
102:11 being responsible to make sure that those rates are  
102:12 within acceptable ranges.

**Allen O'Quinn at 176:16-178:13**

176:16 Q. Okay. Now, my question is  
176:17 specifically, as you were evaluating the performance  
176:18 of the Recovery device and comparing it to the  
176:19 performance of the predicate device, Simon Nitinol  
176:20 Filter, was there a certain threshold of injuries and  
176:21 deaths and complications that the company would say  
176:22 is too many for us to continue to market and sell the  
176:23 Recovery device as a safer and better device than the  
176:24 Simon Nitinol Filter?

176:25 A. Yes, but those guidelines are based  
177:1 upon the SIR guidelines. That's how -- we don't --  
177:2 can't --

177:3 Q. No.

177:4 A. -- just make those numbers up. They have  
177:5 to be --

177:6 Q. Okay.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

177:7 A. -- have clinical foundation, and that was

177:8 the clinical foundation --

177:9 Q. So it --

177:10 A. -- that we based the thresholds.

177:11 Q. So it didn't matter whether or not

177:12 the Recovery Filter caused 25 times more -- there

177:13 were 25 times more migrations with the Recovery

177:14 Filter than there were the Simon Nitinol Filter, as

177:15 long as that 25 times greater than the Simon Nitinol

177:16 Filter was within these guidelines you're talking

177:17 about, it was okay to continue to market and sell the

177:18 Recovery; is that --

177:19 A. But --

177:20 Q. -- right?

177:21 MR. LERNER: Objection --

177:22 BY MR. LOPEZ:

177:23 Q. Is that right --

177:24 MR. LERNER: -- to form.

177:25 BY MR. LOPEZ:

178:1 Q. -- ma'am?

178:2 A. 25 times more, it depends on what that rate

178:3 is. Are you talking about 1 in 500 million versus --

178:4 Q. That --

178:5 A. -- 1 in --

178:6 Q. That's my point.

178:7 A. -- 100?

178:8 Q. It doesn't matter to me.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

178:9 A. That's why I can't answer the  
178:10 question based upon 25 times without looking at what  
178:11 were the rates and are those --  
178:12 Q. That's what I just said.  
178:13 A. -- acceptable rates.

**Allen O'Quinn at 228:2-228:8**

228:2 Q. Did the Recovery Filter have expected or  
228:3 estimated failure rates once it was being implanted  
228:4 in human beings?  
228:5 A. Estimated failure rates?  
228:6 Q. Yeah.  
228:7 A. The rates that I'm familiar with are those  
228:8 that were in the SIR guidelines.

**Brett Baird, Bard’s Senior Product Manager (2007) and  
Marketing Manager (2008 – 2011)**

**Deposition Transcript, June 9, 2016 at 165:6-165:21**

165:6 Q. Okay. Do you know anything about whether  
165:7 or not Bard was using the SIR guidelines as a tool  
165:8 to -- to arm sales reps with as a way to promote the  
165:9 safety profile of the filters?  
165:10 A. I don't know what was sent out as  
165:11 promotion. I do know that as a company we definitely  
165:12 looked at SIR guidelines as an important thing to  
165:13 look at for complication rates.  
165:14 Q. Okay. Why?

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard's employees use of SIR Guidelines**

165:15 A. It shows specific rates over a long,  
165:16 expansive period of time. SIR is -- was the  
165:17 governing body of the group of customers of ours,  
165:18 interventional radiologists. So it's the Society of  
165:19 Interventional Radiologists. So this is -- these are  
165:20 their guidelines. This is what they look to for  
165:21 safety and complication rates.

**Baird at 250:8-250:25**

250:8 Q. You say "The important thing is not to  
250:9 overreact"?

250:10 A. Correct.

250:11 Q. Those are your words. Right?

250:12 A. Yes.

250:13 Q. About a study that's potentially involves  
250:14 your product where people are dying. Correct?

250:15 A. About a single center unpublished study.  
250:16 There are a number of other studies presented,  
250:17 showing lower fracture rates. Again, remembering, we  
250:18 capture all of the fractures. We capture everything,  
250:19 not a single center, but all. And those are the  
250:20 rates that we need to focus on. The things that  
250:21 instead of overreacting to one specific study, we  
250:22 need to look at overall the data set that shows that  
250:23 overall the filter fractures and other experiences  
250:24 with the filter complications are well under the SIR  
250:25 guidelines.



**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

280:16 Q. At the same time do you know if sales reps  
280:17 would tell physicians, look, we consider a 0 to 41  
280:18 percent penetration rate acceptable for our filters?

280:19 MR. CONDO: Object to the form. Asked and  
280:20 answered.

280:21 THE WITNESS: I think I answered that  
280:22 already. Can you say it again?

280:23 MR. SCHULTZ: Sure.

280:24 Can you read the question back, please.

280:25 (Record read.)

281:1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know what the  
281:2 salesperson would say. I stated before we use the  
281:3 SIR guidelines as a benchmark to be able to show that  
281:4 we are well within parameters of what's accepted by  
281:5 the SIR foundation or group.

**Baird at 386:25-387:22**

386:25 Q. So my question to you, and you can take the  
387:1 time you need, is I would like for you to look  
387:2 through these SIR guidelines and tell me anywhere in  
387:3 these guidelines that it suggests that Table 2, the  
387:4 reported incidences of trackable adverse events, is  
387:5 meant to provide manufacturers with a range of  
387:6 acceptable complication rates.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

387:7 A. Well, I'm sure it doesn't say it because

387:8 this is addressed to the clinicians.

387:9 Q. Precisely. So you agree with me there's

387:10 nothing in the SIR guidelines that suggest the

387:11 purpose behind Table 2 is to provide Bard a range

387:12 that it should consider an appropriate range for

387:13 adverse events at any given time? Do we agree on

387:14 that?

387:15 MR. CONDO: Could you read the question

387:16 back, please.

387:17 (Record read.)

387:18 THE WITNESS: Yeah, a journal will never

387:19 address a manufacturer.

387:20 BY MR. SCHULTZ:

387:21 Q. Okay.

387:22 A. That's not the vehicle for it.

**Andrzej Chanduszko, Bard’s Senior Engineer, R&D Staff Engineer (2004-2014)**

**Deposition Transcript, Oct. 10, 2013 at 48:11-49:5**

48:11 Were you aware that that

48:12 perforation through the vena cava could potentially

48:13 cause death?

48:14 A. Probably not.

48:15 Q. Probably not?

48:16 What do you base that on?

48:17 A. Just my recollection.

48:18 Q. You probably weren't aware of it or it

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

48:19 probably couldn't cause death?

48:20 A. I'm not a medical professional. So if, as

48:21 I sit here today, I think it would be very unlikely.

48:22 Q. Based on what?

48:23 A. Based on what I know and what I remember.

48:24 Q. About what?

48:25 A. About penetrations.

49:1 Q. Okay. What do you base that on?

49:2 A. Generally speaking, that penetrations, if

49:3 you take the SIR guidelines, for example, generally

49:4 speaking, they -- they're not considered

49:5 life-threatening.

**John DeFord, Bard’s V.P of Science and Technology (2004-2007)**

**Deposition Transcript, June 2, 2016 at 338:8-338:18**

338:8 Q. Wasn't he trying to --

338:9 wasn't he trying to deviate from the SRI

338:10 (sic) guidelines that Bard had attempted

338:11 to utilize to determine what to call a

338:12 serious adverse event or not?

338:13 A. You know, I don't remember

338:14 all the specifics there. I think that

338:15 Dr. Lehmann -- my recollection is that he

338:16 was not using the SIR guidelines as they

338:17 were intended and so, again, there was a

338:18 dispute about that.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

**DeFord at 111:17-114:6**

111:17 Q And you are comparing the reports of events --  
111:18 correct? -- to physicians' advice of events going way  
111:19 back to early times when the filters were first  
111:20 introduced; correct?

111:21 A I'm comparing our performance to what the end  
111:22 user, the authority, says this is the standard in which  
111:23 filters will be measured.

111:24 Q Where in the Society for Interventional  
111:25 Radiology articles does it say that that is the  
112:1 standard by which filters should be measured?

112:2 A They are the SIR guidelines.

112:3 Q There is no document that's called an SIR  
112:4 guideline; correct?

112:5 A I don't know. As near as I know, there is.

112:6 Q You have -- really? You have the SIR  
112:7 guideline?

112:8 A I do not.

112:9 Q You had a document called the SIR guidelines?

112:10 A What I can tell you is the Society of  
112:11 Interventional Radiology, Society of Vascular Surgery,  
112:12 all societies have guidelines that they provide their  
112:13 discipline, their society. Performance criteria.

112:14 Q And the guidelines -- there are guidelines  
112:15 that are actually called guidelines --

112:16 A I --

112:17 Q -- that report on performance?

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

112:18 A I don't know if that's the vocabulary they  
112:19 use, but they have rates in which -- that you as a  
112:20 clinician should expect, in this case an IVC filter, to  
112:21 perform, whether it's caval occlusions or migrations.  
112:22 Their standards are established through the literature  
112:23 over time.

112:24 Q And are you aware that the SIR article that  
112:25 you are relying upon as of December 2004 was actually a  
113:1 study that was published and a study that was written  
113:2 by Dr. Clement Grassi, among others?

113:3 A Sounds correct. He was a thought leader and  
113:4 early adopter of the procedures.

113:5 Q And are you aware that Dr. Grassi was deposed  
113:6 in this litigation?

113:7 A No, I was not.

113:8 Q And were you aware -- so then you were not  
113:9 aware that Dr. Grassi said the SIR article that you are  
113:10 referring to, the piece of medical literature, was  
113:11 indeed not a guideline?

113:12 A No. I don't know what he refers to it as.

113:13 Q So you don't know one way or the other?

113:14 A I don't know what Dr. Grassi's opinion is, no.

113:15 Q And do you even know if that's the article  
113:16 that you are referring to as a guideline?

113:17 A I don't know. I don't know what he was  
113:18 referring to.

113:19 Q So what -- where are the guidelines that you

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard's employees use of SIR Guidelines**

113:20 were referring to as the head of sales in

113:21 December 2004?

113:22 A I'm referring to those -- to answer your

113:23 question, those were -- those were the guidelines that

113:24 were -- there was very -- there needs to be -- for

113:25 performance awareness, there needs to be standards of

114:1 product performance. Each society has general

114:2 agreements on what those standards look like.

114:3 Q And you are saying that the Society for

114:4 Interventional Radiology wrote these standards; is that

114:5 right?

114:6 A Yes.

**DeFord at 239:10-241:17**

239:10 During your deposition, did you give some

239:11 testimony about what you called SIR or SIR guidelines?

239:12 A I did.

239:13 Q And what's your understanding of what they

239:14 are?

239:15 A They are -- the SIR provides guidelines on

239:16 overall expectations of certain procedures in terms of

239:17 performance rates or expected -- or potential failure

239:18 rates, just as importantly.

239:19 Q Okay. And in the title of this document does

239:20 it contain the word "guidelines"?

239:21 A It does.

239:22 Q And do you recall in your deposition there

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard's employees use of SIR Guidelines**

239:23 being some dispute as to whether what the -- what is  
239:24 published by the Society of Interventional Radiologists  
239:25 is actually guidelines?

240:1 A Yes.

240:2 Q And does it say that right in the title?

240:3 A "Quality Improvement Guidelines for  
240:4 Percutaneous Permanent Inferior Vena Cava" -- yes.

240:5 Q All right. And if you would flip over with me  
240:6 to the third page.

240:7 A Uh-huh.

240:8 Q Down at the bottom center, there is a heading  
240:9 there that reads "Complications." Do you see that?

240:10 A I do.

240:11 Q All right. If you would just read along with  
240:12 me, I'm going to read it into the record.

240:13 Under Complications, it says:

240:14 "Each currently available filter  
240:15 has been studied extensively as part of  
240:16 the Food & Drug Administration approval  
240:17 process. Few comparative studies have  
240:18 been completed evaluating all filters in  
240:19 one project, and those that have done so  
240:20 have been retrospective analyses.  
240:21 Complication rates are highly variable  
240:22 depending on the filter being studied.  
240:23 For simplicity, these guidelines will  
240:24 not suggest threshold rates for each

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

240:25 individual filter. Rather, filtration  
241:1 devices will be considered as group."  
241:2 Did I read that correctly?  
241:3 A Yes.  
241:4 Q Okay. And then does it refer you over to  
241:5 Table 1?  
241:6 A It does, yes.  
241:7 Q And what does Table 1 set forth?  
241:8 A Complications of reported death rate,  
241:9 percentages, recurring PE, IVC filter occlusion, filter  
241:10 embolization, and access site thrombosis.  
241:11 Q And does the document also set forth a word  
241:12 there called "threshold"?  
241:13 A Yes.  
241:14 Q And what is the threshold that is -- as you  
241:15 would interpret it?  
241:16 A The threshold for death rate complications is  
241:17 roughly 1 percent.

**Robert DeLeon, Bard’s Western Regional Manager (2006-2007)**

**Deposition Transcript, June 16, 2016 at 90:24-91:25**

90:24 Do you see where it says, "Latest JVIR,  
90:25 critical information, read"?  
91:1 A. Yes.  
91:2 Q. And again, this is addressed to you as well  
91:3 as others, from Jason.  
91:4 "Attached is an extremely significant



**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

91:5 edition of JVIR, where the guidelines for the  
91:6 treatment of DVT-VTD and the associated use of  
91:7 permanent and optional IVC filters are laid out,  
91:8 carrying this information in your detail bag would be  
91:9 no different than a professional golfer carrying the  
91:10 USGA rules, golf rules, with them. It is imperative  
91:11 that we know the SIR guidelines on IVC filters."

91:12 Do you see that?

91:13 A. I do see it.

91:14 Q. Okay. So Jason thinks this is imperative to  
91:15 know. Does this help refresh your recollection at  
91:16 all about what these are?

91:17 A. It does now, sir.

91:18 Q. Okay. And what are they?

91:19 A. They're guidelines for the use of permanent  
91:20 and optional filters.

91:21 Q. Okay. Guidelines for whom?

91:22 A. For physicians.

91:23 Q. Okay. Were they guidelines for  
91:24 manufacturers?

91:25 A. I don't know.

**David Feigal, Bard’s Regulatory Expert**

**Deposition Transcript, May 21, 2015 at 53:2-53:15**

53:2 Q. So you're not saying that the studies show  
53:3 the failure rates are low. You are just saying that  
53:4 the studies don't prove that they are high.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

53:5 A. No. Maybe I'm -- maybe I'm just not being  
53:6 straightforward enough.

53:7 Many of these studies can't establish rates  
53:8 at all. The ones that do have reports of numerators  
53:9 and denominators have rates that I consider rates  
53:10 that are similar to the ones that, for example, the  
53:11 SKIVER [phonetic] professional society says that  
53:12 they think what these rates are.

53:13 Q. Okay. Are you talking about the SIR  
53:14 guidelines document?

53:15 A. Yes.

**Feigal at 57:5-58:18**

57:5 Q. Okay. So you have raised a number of  
57:6 concerns about the reliability of the reports that  
57:7 you looked at in this document. Right?

57:8 A. Yes.

57:9 Q. So if those same concerns existed with the  
57:10 reports or medical literature on which the SIR  
57:11 guidelines are based, wouldn't you also discount  
57:12 those findings?

57:13 A. Yes, I would. I would say that those  
57:14 would -- excuse me -- those would suffer from the  
57:15 same limitations.

57:16 Q. Okay. So, for example, if that 10 percent  
57:17 number was based on a single center study of 20  
57:18 devices, do you think that's reliable?

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard's employees use of SIR Guidelines**

57:19 A. No.

57:20 Q. Do you think you should know that before  
57:21 you make opinions about what the known failure rates  
57:22 were for fracture?

57:23 A. Well, I don't think we know the known  
57:24 failure rates. You know, there are, there are  
57:25 estimates, actually, in the Morales paper from FDA.

58:1 You know, they're -- I think there hasn't been a  
58:2 study that actually can determine them, but I think  
58:3 we know from the prospective series that these rates  
58:4 are not, are not common. These are not things that  
58:5 are occurring in most of the patients who get these  
58:6 products. So, you know, that's our -- that's our  
58:7 basic problem is that there aren't methods that  
58:8 actually tell us what those rates are with enough  
58:9 precision to compare products or to compare products  
58:10 even over time.

58:11 Q. But the manufacturers internally can at  
58:12 least develop cumulative proportional failure rates  
58:13 based off their sales data and at least the reported  
58:14 failure rates to them. Right?

58:15 A. Um, they can, they can do that. They can  
58:16 compare the reports that they've had to date and  
58:17 sales that they've had to date. Yes, they could do  
58:18 that.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

**Timothy Fischer, Bard’s expert**

**Deposition Transcript, March 29, 2017 at 246:3-247:21**

246:3 Q. So on occasion doctors in Wisconsin asked  
246:4 you questions or bring up the -- the SIR guidelines  
246:5 relating to IVC filters?

246:6 A. They would, especially once --

246:7 MR. O'CONNOR: Form, foundation. Excuse  
246:8 me.

246:9 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Especially once  
246:10 competitive reps started pulling out stuff about  
246:11 the MAUDE database and putting numbers in front of  
246:12 them, that's when they really started going back  
246:13 to, okay, show me the clinical information, you  
246:14 know, let's talk about the clinical documents  
246:15 and --

246:16 BY MR. LERNER:

246:17 Q. When you look at, if you go to the  
246:18 page S273, other trackable events, this -- this  
246:19 guideline that was provided by SIR in 2001 and  
246:20 reprinted in 2003, what is the reported rates for  
246:21 penetration, IVF penetration?

246:22 MR. O'CONNOR: Form and foundation.

246:23 THE WITNESS: So this is a compilation of  
246:24 a whole bunch of clinical papers, and it says zero  
246:25 to 41 percent.

247:1 MR. O'CONNOR: Form.

247:2 BY MR. LERNER:

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

247:3 Q. In any of the documents that Mr. O'Connor  
247:4 showed you today, did you find any documents that  
247:5 suggested that Bard's IVC filters penetrated at  
247:6 rates that exceeded those reported rates --

247:7 MR. O'CONNOR: Form and foundation.

247:8 BY MR. LERNER:

247:9 Q. -- of penetration?

247:10 A. I didn't see any, no.

247:11 Q. In reviewing all the documents today, did  
247:12 you see anything that suggests that Bard's internal  
247:13 rates for migration exceeded the reported rates of  
247:14 zero to 18 percent?

247:15 A. No, I didn't.

247:16 Q. And then for filter fracture did you see  
247:17 anything within the documents that you were shown  
247:18 today that the reported rates of between two and  
247:19 ten percent for filter fracture in this article  
247:20 were exceeded?

247:21 A. No.

**Clement Grassi, M.D., Bard’s Radiology Consultant**

**Deposition Transcript, July 30, 2014 at 133:20-134:24**

133:20 Q. Well, what if after the company  
133:21 investigated thoroughly and determined that their  
133:22 device was migrating and causing deaths four or  
133:23 five times greater than any other device on the  
133:24 market, and they knew that it was -- that there

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

134:1 was a design problem with their device in having  
134:2 these events occur, and instead of telling doctors  
134:3 or FDA or anyone else that, they represented that  
134:4 their device was just like every other device  
134:5 because they fell within the SIR guidelines for  
134:6 death --

134:7 MS. DALY: Object --

134:8 Q. -- would that be an appropriate  
134:9 representation made by the company or an  
134:10 appropriate use of your SIR guidelines?

134:11 MS. DALY: Object to the form and  
134:12 to the hypothetical. Go ahead.

134:13 A. Well, in --

134:14 Q. Sir, would it be appropriate or  
134:15 not?

134:16 A. Again, I'll try to answer your  
134:17 question as accurate as I can. Our SIR guidelines  
134:18 were designed for physicians and practitioners and  
134:19 to help them in an educational and instructive  
134:20 fashion and for those who are involved with IVC  
134:21 filter placements, and one would hope, of course,  
134:22 that complications, serious ones such as you've  
134:23 explained, would be reported, duly noted, and  
134:24 processed in a conscientious fashion.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

97:1 Q When you talked about thresholds, you talked  
97:2 about them in the context of my question about migration  
97:3 and other things. The guidelines don't actually have  
97:4 thresholds --

97:5 A For those, for fractures and migration, right.  
97:6 They give you a range. This enormous range.

97:7 Q Right. So there are no thresholds under the  
97:8 guidelines for migration, correct?

97:9 A Correct. I was --

97:10 Q There are no thresholds for fracture, correct,  
97:11 under the guidelines?

97:12 A Correct.

**John Kaufman, M.D., Bard’s Consultant**

**Deposition Transcript, Jan. 4, 2017 at 97:1-97:12**

102:9 The SIR guidelines are for doctors and they're  
102:10 not for manufacturers, correct?

102:11 A They are for physicians. They're clinical  
102:12 practice documents, standards documents for clinical  
102:13 practice.

102:14 Q And they're not for manufacturers?

102:15 A Unless it states there are some guidelines that  
102:16 give -- unless they state that in the guidelines, these  
102:17 are design specifications, they would not be meant for  
102:18 that. And they would state that in the guidelines.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

**William Little, Bard’s V.P. of Global Marketing (2008-2011)**

**Deposition Transcript, July 27, 2016 at 392:1-393:14**

392:1 It's just a report of the historical nature of

392:2 some of the reports in the literature about a

392:3 variety of IVC filters.

392:4 A No. That's not what guidelines are. Guidelines

392:5 are not a report about some of the literature

392:6 that are out there. That's wildly different.

392:7 When you're talking about guidelines from a

392:8 society, that is the highest level document that

392:9 they have. It's not just some amalgamation of

392:10 some data.

392:11 Q Is that how Bard measured whether or not their

392:12 device was performing at an expected or

392:13 acceptable range with respect to their

392:14 complications?

392:15 A Guidelines would be an input, but a quality

392:16 system -- and I'm sure you could talk to the

392:17 quality folks specifically about how our quality

392:18 system worked, but we worked within the quality

392:19 system to trend complaint analyses.

392:20 Q No, but my question was: Was Bard using --

392:21 whatever was in the guidelines, whether you call

392:22 it a threshold or reported rate, that as long as

392:23 your devices were within a threshold or

392:24 reporting rate, that your devices were

392:25 performing in a manner in which physicians would



**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

393:1 accept them to perform?

393:2 A That's not how Bard measured their quality

393:3 system.

393:4 Q Okay. I didn't think so.

393:5 And that would be inappropriate for them to

393:6 do that, right?

393:7 A It would be an input. You certainly would

393:8 consider guidelines when you're thinking about

393:9 your own complaint rates, but it wouldn't be the

393:10 only way. And, no, it wouldn't be

393:11 inappropriate. It would be appropriate to

393:12 consider guidelines when you're thinking about

393:13 your own complaint rates. You should consider

393:14 that.

**Chad Modra, Bard’s V.P. Quality Assurance (2011 – 2014), V.P. of Operations  
(2014 – present)**

**Deposition Transcript, Jan. 20, 2016 at 521:10-523:13**

521:10 Q. So have you -- have you done comparative

521:11 analysis among all your filters to see how -- whether

521:12 or not these new designs are actually improving the

521:13 type of trending of injuries and deaths and other

521:14 malfunctions?

521:15 A. On failure modes and -- yes.

521:16 Q. Things are getting better as you go?

521:17 MR. NORTH: Objection to the form.

521:18 THE WITNESS: They're all still below the

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

521:19 SIR guidelines.

521:20 BY MR. LOPEZ:

521:21 Q. Why do you mean the SRI [sic] guidelines?

521:22 What does that have to do with whether or not a

521:23 device is performing as expected and intended?

521:24 A. And they're also all below our FMEA --

521:25 Q. Well, I know, but what do the SRI

522:1 guidelines have to do with whether or not your device

522:2 is performing as safely and effectively as expected

522:3 or intended to perform?

522:4 A. It's a benchmark --

522:5 Q. Well, no, it's not.

522:6 A. -- the industry has set out, and also our

522:7 internal FMEAs.

522:8 Q. Which --

522:9 MR. NORTH: Objection to the form.

522:10 Argumentative.

522:11 BY MR. LOPEZ:

522:12 Q. Well, wait a minute, the industry has set

522:13 that out as a benchmark for safety and effectiveness?

522:14 A. They've published that as an understanding

522:15 of the known rates of complications related to the --

522:16 Q. Well, no, the known rates of complications

522:17 going -- citing articles from the 1980s and 1990s.

522:18 That's what the SRI guidelines do. Right?

522:19 A. I don't know.

522:20 Q. You've never read it?

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

522:21 A. I've read the guidelines --

522:22 Q. Okay.

522:23 A. -- but I haven't read each and every

522:24 citation, no.

522:25 Q. But the guidelines have nothing to do with

523:1 whether or not your company is selling a device that

523:2 is as safe and as effective as is expected and

523:3 intended to perform?

523:4 A. It's one input to our risk management

523:5 system to understand the rates of failure of these

523:6 class of devices.

523:7 Q. These class of devices, there were no

523:8 retrievable devices, and it involved devices that

523:9 have long been off the market that are cited in the

523:10 SRI guidelines. Right?

523:11 MR. NORTH: Objection to the form.

523:12 THE WITNESS: I'm not in a position to

523:13 question the SIR guidelines.

**Daniel Orms, Bard’s Regional Sale Manager (2008 – 2012), District Manager (2003-2008), Field Manager (1997 -2001)**

**Deposition Transcript, Aug. 16, 2016 at 177:13-179:3**

177:13 if Bard is aware of problems that are

177:14 outside of the reporting guidelines, yes, that's what --

177:15 I would want to know that.

177:16 Q. If they're aware of trends with tilting that

177:17 are causing harm to patients or potential harm, that's

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

177:18 something you would expect them to advise you,

177:19 correct?

177:20 A. Again, if it's --

177:21 MR. BROWN: Object to form.

177:22 A. If it's outside of the guidelines. Because I

177:23 think that's the -- to your point, I had -- I won't do

177:24 that you because you'll wind up striking me. I had

178:1 physicians ask me the same question that you asked me

178:2 many times.

178:3 Q. And you want to be open and you want to be

178:4 honest.

178:5 A. And I answered exactly the way I just told you,

178:6 and I said as far as I know they're well within the SIR

178:7 guidelines.

178:8 Q. Okay.

178:9 A. And the physicians were happy. That was all

178:10 they wanted to know.

178:11 Q. But today you learned about a lot more events

178:12 than you ever were aware of.

178:13 A. But my understanding is that, again, my

178:14 understanding is that it's still well within the SIR

178:15 guidelines for adverse events, for all of those adverse

178:16 events that you just described.

178:17 Q. But can we leave this just with the agreement

178:18 you would want to know about the tracking and trending

178:19 of events in your sales department.

178:20 A. Yes.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

178:21 Q. And that would be information you would like  
178:22 to know in the event you needed to communicate it to a  
178:23 physician for whatever reason, correct?

178:24 A. If -- if it was outside the guidelines, if it  
179:1 was significant and the data was reliable and it was  
179:2 something that the physician could use to make an  
179:3 informed decision, then I would like to know.

**Ann Roberts, M.D., Plaintiff’s Expert**

**Deposition Transcript, July 7, 2017 at 270:2-270:11**

270:2 Q Dr. Roberts, are you aware of any  
270:3 medical literature finding a rate of migration for  
270:4 Bard Recovery or G2 filter higher than 25 percent?

270:5 A Any literature -- published literature?  
270:6 I'm not sure.

270:7 Q Are you aware of any internal Bard  
270:8 document showing a rate of migration for the  
270:9 Recovery or G2 filter anywhere near 25 percent?

270:10 A I don't think it was higher than  
270:11 25 percent.

**Kim Romney, Bard’s Senior Product Manager (2011 to present)**

**Deposition Transcript, Sept. 7, 2016 at 192:5-192:13**

192:5 Are  
192:6 you aware if these are to be utilized as guidelines  
192:7 for manufacturers and their reported failure rates?

192:8 MR. LERNER: Objection to form. Outside

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

192:9 the scope of the notice.

192:10 THE WITNESS: As our guidelines for

192:11 reported failure rates?

192:12 Q. BY MR. GOLDENBERG: Yes.

192:13 A. No, they're not.

**S. William Stavropoulos, M.D., Bard’s Consultant**

**Deposition Transcript, Feb. 22, 2017 at 254:1-255:11**

254:1 Q. When you use IVC filters in  
254:2 your practice, do you use them with the  
254:3 understanding that they can carry the  
254:4 ranges of risk identified in the SIR  
254:5 guidelines?

254:6 MR. MATTHEWS: Object to the  
254:7 form.

254:8 THE WITNESS: I understand  
254:9 that there are risks and discuss those  
254:10 risks with the patients. As far as  
254:11 the -- what -- and I guess, what was  
254:12 your specific question regarding any  
254:13 more than that?

254:14 BY MR. BROWN:

254:15 Q. If you look at Table 2,  
254:16 there are ranges of reported risks --

254:17 A. Right.

254:18 Q. -- for individual  
254:19 complications like IVC penetration,

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

254:20 filter fracture, migration and other  
254:21 complications, which include tilt of the  
254:22 filter more than 15 degrees from the IVC  
254:23 axis.

254:24           And my question is, when you  
255:1 use IVC filters and when you think about  
255:2 the risks associated with IVC filters,  
255:3 are you thinking in terms of the rates of  
255:4 risk that are reported in the SIR  
255:5 guidelines?

255:6           MR. MATTHEWS: Object to the  
255:7 form.

255:8 BY MR. BROWN:

255:9       Q. For IVC filters generally?

255:10      A. For -- in these ranges, this  
255:11 is part of what I'm thinking about, yes.

**Stavropoulos at 281:21-282:13**

281:21      Q. You were asked questions  
281:22 about an IVC focus group. I believe it's  
281:23 Exhibit 13.

281:24      A. Yes.

282:1      Q. And there was a notation in  
282:2 this document about a statement that was  
282:3 ascribed to you about 1 in 1,000 as far  
282:4 as fracture being high?

282:5      A. Yes.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

282:6 Q. Sitting here today, do you

282:7 remember saying that?

282:8 A. I don't.

282:9 Q. And as discussed in the SIR

282:10 guidelines from 2001, the reported rate

282:11 for fracture for IVC filters generally

282:12 was up to 10 percent; is that right?

282:13 A. Yes.

**Jack Sullivan, South Regional Manager (2005), Central Regional Manager (2006 - 2009), Western Regional Manager (2010 -2011)**

**Deposition Transcript, Sept. 16, 2016 at 120:16-121:19**

120:16 Q. So here you've got the associate

120:17 product manager for IVC filters from Bard sending

120:18 an email to sales personnel telling them to use

120:19 the SIR guidelines as comparison points for

120:20 reported rates with physicians; is that right?

120:21 MS. KOWALZYK: Object to the form.

120:22 A. So it's -- I guess what she's saying

120:23 is she points out some different percentages, and

120:24 she just says, keep these in mind when you're

120:25 discussing clinical studies and filter

121:1 complications. So, yeah.

121:2 MS. KOWALZYK: Object to the --

121:3 Q. BY MR. DeGREEFF: But she's talking

121:4 about keeping the SIR guidelines in mind; right?

121:5 A. Sure. Yes.



**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

121:6 Q. And that the SIR guidelines provide  
121:7 definitions and benchmarks for IVC filter  
121:8 performance?

121:9 A. That's what it says, yes.

121:10 Q. And the sales force are the people who  
121:11 will be communicating with physicians; right?

121:12 A. Yes.

121:13 Q. And, in fact, she's telling them to  
121:14 use this information with physicians?

121:15 A. She's saying to keep it in mind.

121:16 Q. Well, and she's telling them to use  
121:17 it. "Use the reported rates as comparison  
121:18 points"; right?

121:19 A. Fair to say, yes.

**Sullivan at 231:13-232:11**

231:13 I'm going to ask you: As you sit here  
231:14 looking at this, how can you reconcile these  
231:15 numbers with the representations that were made  
231:16 about the G2 having increased fracture resistance?

231:17 MS. KOWALZYK: Object to the form.

231:18 A. Again, I don't know that I can -- I  
231:19 don't know that I can reconcile it. I don't know  
231:20 what's behind it. I don't know what's -- for  
231:21 example, what the SIR guidelines are and what  
231:22 folks that need to make this decision felt was a  
231:23 threshold that they needed to maintain.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

231:24 Q. BY MR. DeGREEFF: What do the SIR  
231:25 guidelines have to do with this document right  
232:1 here?

232:2 A. Well, as we kind of go back to, I  
232:3 think, our original questioning, filter fracture  
232:4 is a known complication for vena cava filters. So  
232:5 we know fractures -- filters fracture. All  
232:6 filters fracture. None of them are perfect.

232:7 So it would appear in this slide that  
232:8 Recoveries may fracture more than Simon Nitinol,  
232:9 but as an overall percentage, where does that fall  
232:10 in line as acceptable or unacceptable; I don't  
232:11 know.

**Sullivan at 231:13-232:11**

231:13 I'm going to ask you: As you sit here  
231:14 looking at this, how can you reconcile these  
231:15 numbers with the representations that were made  
231:16 about the G2 having increased fracture resistance?

231:17 MS. KOWALZYK: Object to the form.

231:18 A. Again, I don't know that I can -- I  
231:19 don't know that I can reconcile it. I don't know  
231:20 what's behind it. I don't know what's -- for  
231:21 example, what the SIR guidelines are and what  
231:22 folks that need to make this decision felt was a  
231:23 threshold that they needed to maintain.

231:24 Q. BY MR. DeGREEFF: What do the SIR

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

231:25 guidelines have to do with this document right

232:1 here?

232:2 A. Well, as we kind of go back to, I

232:3 think, our original questioning, filter fracture

232:4 is a known complication for vena cava filters. So

232:5 we know fractures -- filters fracture. All

232:6 filters fracture. None of them are perfect.

232:7 So it would appear in this slide that

232:8 Recoveries may fracture more than Simon Nitinol,

232:9 but as an overall percentage, where does that fall

232:10 in line as acceptable or unacceptable; I don't

232:11 know.

**Natalie Wong, Senior Quality Engineer, New Product Development (2004 – 2007),  
Quality Engineering Manager (2008 -2011)**

**Deposition Transcript, Oct. 18, 2016 at 127:4-129:13**

127:4 Q. And let me ask you something, the first

127:5 bullet says, "Complication/trackable event rates from

127:6 SIR guidelines (all filters) is 2 to 10 percent."

127:7 Did I read that correctly?

127:8 A. Yes.

127:9 Q. What is the relevance of the SIR guidelines

127:10 to -- to fracture rates with -- with the Recovery and

127:11 G2 and SNF?

127:12 A. It was a -- it was a document stating what

127:13 the thresholds or rates that were accepted by the SIR

127:14 group.

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

127:15 Q. Well, do you know -- do you know what  
127:16 filters the SIR guidelines considered in -- in this  
127:17 publication?

127:18 A. No.

127:19 Q. Have you ever looked at the studies relied  
127:20 on in the SIR guidelines?

127:21 A. I read the document, but I don't recall the  
127:22 details.

127:23 Q. And is it your understanding that -- that  
127:24 the SIR guidelines were providing a threshold for  
127:25 fracture rates?

128:1 A. Yes.

128:2 Q. Okay. And are you aware of any of the --  
128:3 the testimony from various members of Bard and the  
128:4 drafter of the SIR guidelines, stating that it  
128:5 doesn't establish a threshold?

128:6 A. That I don't know.

128:7 Q. No one ever provided you those depositions?

128:8 A. No.

128:9 Q. So based on this -- well, strike that.

128:10 Was it -- was the SIR guidelines commonly  
128:11 used as a threshold within Bard?

128:12 A. Not as a threshold, but it was used to  
128:13 compare what our rates are against it.

128:14 Q. So 2 to 10 percent would mean that it would  
128:15 be an acceptable threshold for up to 10 out of 100  
128:16 filters to fail, to fracture?

**Exhibit B – Testimony from Bard’s employees use of SIR Guidelines**

128:17 A. I don't think that was acceptable to us.

128:18 Q. Okay. Well, that -- you're using it as a  
128:19 threshold here. Right?

128:20 A. No.

128:21 Q. What was acceptable to -- to Bard, based on  
128:22 the --

128:23 A. It would be against the DFMEA.

128:24 Q. Okay.

128:25 A. And the R002 procedure.

129:1 Q. So, if this -- so if this is not an  
129:2 acceptable rate then why is it being used -- why is  
129:3 it included here as -- why is it relevant?

129:4 A. It's a comparison.

129:5 Q. Why compare something you don't think is  
129:6 acceptable?

129:7 A. It was accepted by industry for the SIR  
129:8 guidelines. We were just comparing our numbers to  
129:9 what those rates were in that article.

129:10 Q. Did -- but so this rate was not acceptable  
129:11 to Bard?

129:12 A. No, it was something we compared, we looked  
129:13 at it against.